Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Hong-Xia Zhang, Guo-Yu Yang* and Yan-Qiong Sun

Coordination and Hydrothermal Chemistry Group, State Key Laboratory of Structural Chemistry, Fujian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matter, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Fuzhou, Fujian 350002, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: ygy@ms.fjirsm.ac.cn

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 293 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.007 Å R factor = 0.039 wR factor = 0.119 Data-to-parameter ratio = 13.0

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e. Bis(diethylenetriamine- $\kappa^3 N$)nickel hexafluorogermanate

The title compound, $[Ni(dien)_2][GeF_6]$ (dien is diethylenetriamine, $C_4H_{13}N_3$), synthesized under mild solvothermal conditions, is a novel zero-dimensional material in which $[GeF_6]^{2-}$ building anions are connected to $[Ni(dien)_2]^{2+}$ cations *via* hydrogen bonds between F atoms and N–H groups. Both metal atoms are located on special positions of site symmetry 2/m. Furthermore, one F and one N atom (including the attached H atom) are located on a mirror plane. Received 10 March 2003 Accepted 17 March 2003 Online 31 March 2003

Comment

Despite the rapid development in the syntheses of new materials, the field of fluorides remains undeveloped. More recently, fluoroaluminates such as (C₄H₁₂N₂)(H₃O)[Al₃F₁₂] (Tang et al., 2001c) and $(C_4H_{12}N_2)_2[Al_2F_{10}]\cdot 2H_2O$ (Tang et al., 2001e), the fluorosilicate $(C_4N_2H_{12})[SiF_6]$ (Tang et al., 2001f) and fluorotitanates such as $(C_5H_6N)_2(H_3O)[Ti_2F_{11}]\cdot H_2O$ (Tang et al., 2001d), (C₄N₂H₁₄)[TiF₄O] (Tang et al., 2001a), $(C_4H_{12}N_2)[TiF_5(H_2O)]_2$ (Tang et al., 2001b) and $(C_4H_{12}N_2)_2[Ti_2F_{10}O] \cdot 2H_2O$ (Dadachov *et al.*, 2001) have been reported. In those fluorides, the central Al, Si and Ti atoms are all coordinated by F atoms. However, considerably less work has been performed on fluorogermanates, with only a few Ge^{IV} fluorides, viz. K₂[cis-(CF₃)₂GeF₄] (Brauer et al., 1980), $[(CH_3)_4N][(CF_3)_3GeF_2]$ (Brauer *et al.*, 1986) and N(CH₂CH₂O)₃GeF (Lukevics et al., 1997), structurally characterized. All these fluorogermanates are coordinated by mixed ligands. Recently, the use of hydrofluoric acid as a mineralizing agent in the synthesis of zeolite materials with novel architectures has attracted much attention (Li et al., 1998; Plevert et al., 2001). As part of our interest in borogermanate microporous materials, we tried to prepare novel open frameworks using organic amines in the presence of hydrofluoric acid. Unexpectedly, the novel title compound, (I), was obtained; this is the first structure of its kind.



The title compound (Fig. 1) is composed of $[GeF_6]^{2-}$ anions and $[Ni(dien)_2]^{2+}$ cations. In the anion $[GeF_6]^{2-}$, the germanium center is coordinated by six fluoride ligands, resulting in an octahedral geometry, with Ge-F distances of 1.798 (2) and 1.799 (4) Å, which is consistent with K₂GeF₆ (Ge-F = 1.77 Å; Hoard & Vincent, 1939), other fluorogermanates (Taylor &

© 2003 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved



Figure 1

The cation and anion of the title complex, showing the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 30% probability level. [Symmetry codes: (i) $\frac{1}{2} - x$, $\frac{1}{2} - y$, z; (ii) $\frac{1}{2} - x$, $\frac{1}{2} - y$, 2 - z; (iii) x, y, 2 - z; (iv) $\frac{1}{2} - x$, $\frac{1}{2} - y$, 1 - z; (v) x, y, 1 - z.]



Figure 2

Packing diagram of (I), viewed down [101].

Wilson, 1973), and $[(CH_3)_4N][(CF_3)_3GeF_2]$ (Brauer *et al.*, 1986); *cis*-F-Ge-F angles lie between 89.4 (1) and 90.6 (1)°. The nickel cation displays distorted octahedral geometry and is bonded to six N atoms of two diethylene triamines with Ni-N distances of 2.110 (2) and 2.112 (4) Å, and *cis*-N-Ni-N angles in the range 83.1 (1)-96.9 (1)°. Like $[Co(dien)_2]^{2+}$ (Keene & Searle, 1974), $[Ni(dien)_2]^{2+}$ also has *s*-*cis*, *u*-*cis* and *trans* configurations, and the latter two are chiral. In the title compound, there is only one unique Ni site of *s*-*cis*- $[Ni(dien)_2]^{2+}$. The $[GeF_6]^{2-}$ anions interact with $[Ni(dien)_2]^{2+}$ cations both electrostatically and through weak hydrogen bonds. The hydrogen bonds are formed between the F and N atoms, with F···N distances in the range 3.060 (4)-3.180 (5) Å.

Experimental

The title compound was prepared from a mixture of germanuim dioxide (GeO₂, 0.104 g), boric acid (H₃BO₃, 0.031 g), NiCl₂·6H₂O (0.238 g), diethylenetriamine (C₄N₃H₁₃, 2 ml), pyridine (C₅H₅N,

2 ml), hydrofluoric acid (HF, 40%, 0.1 ml), and deionized water (2 ml), in the molar ratio 1:1:1:18:25:5:110. The mixture was mechanically stirred at room temperature with a final pH of 9.0 and then placed in an autoclave at 443 K for 7 d.

739 independent reflections

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0603P)^2 + 3.1056P]$

where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

 $R_{\rm int}=0.032$

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 25.0^{\circ}$

 $h = -11 \rightarrow 8$

 $k = -17 \rightarrow 7$

 $l = -12 \rightarrow 12$

 $\begin{array}{l} (\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001 \\ \Delta\rho_{\rm max} = 0.59 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ \Delta\rho_{\rm min} = -0.72 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \end{array}$

536 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Crystal data

 $[Ni(C_4H_{13}N_3)_2][GeF_6]$ Mo K α radiation $M_r = 451.65$ Cell parameters from 25 Orthorhombic, Cccm reflections a = 9.5937 (19) Å $\theta = 2.5 - 25.0^{\circ}$ $\mu = 3.17 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ b = 15.193 (3) Å T = 293 (2) K c = 10.819 (2) Å V = 1576.9 (6) Å³ Polyhedron, purple Z = 4 $0.48 \times 0.40 \times 0.22 \text{ mm}$ $D_x = 1.902 \text{ Mg m}^-$

Data collection

Bruker CCD area-detector diffractometer φ and ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Sheldrick, 1996) $T_{\min} = 0.238, T_{\max} = 0.498$ 1847 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.039$ $wR(F^2) = 0.119$ S = 1.16739 reflections 57 parameters H-atom parameters constrained

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Ge-F2	1.798 (2)	N1-C1	1.460 (5)
Ge-F1	1.799 (4)	N2-C2	1.471 (5)
Ni-N1	2.110 (3)	C1-C2	1.542 (7)
Ni-N2	2.112 (4)		
F2 ⁱⁱ -Ge-F2	180.0	N1 ^{iv} -Ni-N1	90.6 (2)
F2 ⁱ -Ge-F2 ⁱⁱ	89.8 (2)	N1 ⁱ -Ni-N2	96.9 (1)
F2-Ge-F2i	90.2 (2)	N1-Ni-N2	83.1 (1)
F2 ⁱⁱⁱ -Ge-F1	89.5 (1)	N1-Ni-N2 ^{iv}	96.9 (1)
F2-Ge-F1	89.4 (1)	N2-Ni-N2 ^{iv}	180.0
F2 ⁱ -Ge-F1	90.6 (1)	C1-N1-Ni	111.1 (2)
F1 ⁱⁱ -Ge-F1	180.0	$C2^{v}-N2-C2$	116.9 (5)
N1 ⁱ -Ni-N1 ^v	180.0	N1-C1-C2	110.3 (3)
N1 ⁱ -Ni-N1	89.4 (2)	N2-C2-C1	112.2 (4)
Symmetry codes: (i)	$-x, \frac{1}{2} - y, z;$ (ii)	$\frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} - y, 2 - z;$ (iii)) $x, y, 2 - z;$ (iv)

Symmetry codes: (i) $\frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} - y, z$; (ii) $\frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} - y, 2 - z$; (iii) x, y, 2 - z; (iv) $\frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} - y, 1 - z$; (v) x, y, 1 - z.

Table 2		
Hydrogen-bonding geometry	(Å,	°).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$N1 - H1B \cdots F1^{vi}$	0.90	2.24	3.060 (4)	152
$N1-H1B\cdots F2^{vi}$	0.90	2.37	3.099 (4)	138
$N1-H1A\cdots F2^{ii}$	0.90	2.23	3.123 (5)	171
$N2-H2A\cdots F2^{vii}$	0.91	2.39	3.180 (5)	145
$N2-H2A\cdots F2^{viii}$	0.91	2.39	3.180 (5)	145
	4		4	4

Symmetry codes: (ii) $\frac{1}{2} - x$, $\frac{1}{2} - y$, 2 - z; (vi) $x - \frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2} - y$, $z - \frac{1}{2}$; (vii) 1 - x, y, $z - \frac{1}{2}$; (viii) 1 - x, y, $\frac{3}{2} - z$.

All H atoms were positioned geometrically (C-H = 0.97 Å and N-H = 0.91 Å) and allowed to ride on their parent C or N atoms.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 1999); cell refinement: *SMART*; data reduction: *SAINT* and *SHELXTL* (Bruker, 1999); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*97 (Sheldrick, 1990); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL*; software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation of China (grant Nos. 20271050 and 20171045), the Ministry of Finance of China and the Talents Program of Chinese Academy of Sciences.

References

- Brauer, D. J., Burger, H. & Eujen, R. (1980). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 19, 836–837.
- Brauer, D. J., Wilke, J. & Eujen, R. (1986). J. Organomet. Chem. 316, 261–269.Bruker. (1999). SMART, SAINT and SHELXTL. Versions 5.054. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Dadachov, M. S., Tang, L. Q. & Zou, X. D. (2001). Z. Kristallogr. New Cryst. Struct. 216, 141–142.

- Hoard, J. L. & Vincent, W. B. (1939). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 61, 2849-2852.
- Keene, F. R. & Searle, G. H. (1974). Inorg. Chem. 13, 2173-2180.
- Li, H., Eddaoudi, M., Richardson, D. A. & Yaghi, O. M. (1998). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 120, 8567–8568.
- Lukevics, E., Belyakov, S., Arsenyan, P. & Popelis, J. (1997). J. Organomet. Chem. 549, 163–165.
- Plevert, J., Gentz, T. M., Laine, A., Li, H., Young, V. G., Yaghi, O. M. & O'Keeffe, M. (2001). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 123, 12706–12707.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Tang, L. Q., Dadachov, M. S. & Zou, X. D. (2001a). Z. Kristallogr. New Cryst. Struct. 216, 257–258.
- Tang, L. Q., Dadachov, M. S. & Zou, X. D. (2001b). Z. Kristallogr. New Cryst. Struct. 216, 259–260.
- Tang, L. Q., Dadachov, M. S. & Zou, X. D. (2001c). Z. Kristallogr. New Cryst. Struct. 216, 385–386.
- Tang, L. Q., Dadachov, M. S. & Zou, X. D. (2001d). Z. Kristallogr. New Cryst. Struct. 216, 387–388.
- Tang, L. Q., Dadachov, M. S. & Zou, X. D. (2001e). Z. Kristallogr. New Cryst. Struct. 216, 389–390.
- Tang, L. Q., Dadachov, M. S. & Zou, X. D. (2001f). Z. Kristallogr. New Cryst. Struct. 216, 391–392.
- Taylor, J. C. & Wilson, P. W. (1973). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 95, 1834–1838.